## **2008 Dialysis Facility Report**

## **Purpose of the Report**

Enclosed is the 2008 Dialysis Facility Report (DFR) for this facility, based on data from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).

## This DFR includes data specific to provider number(s): 999999

These data could be useful in quality improvement and assurance activities. The information contained in this report facilitates comparisons of patient characteristics, treatment patterns, transplantation rates, hospitalization rates, and mortality rates to local and national averages. Some of these comparisons account for the patient mix at this facility, including age, sex, race, and diabetic status. This report is provided as a resource for characterizing selected aspects of clinical experience at this facility relative to other caregivers in this state, ESRD Network, and across the United States.

In September 2008, each state's surveyors will receive the DFR for all dialysis facilities in their state.

This report also provides you with advance notice of the updated quality measures (urea reduction ratio, hemoglobin, and patient survival) for your facility that will be reported on the Dialysis Facility Compare (DFC) website in November 2008 (www.medicare.gov).

### Collaborators

CMS has contracted with the University of Michigan Kidney Epidemiology and Cost Center (UM-KECC) and Arbor Research Collaborative for Health to produce the 2008 Dialysis Facility Reports.

### How to Submit Comments

Please visit https://secure.ArborResearch.org/ESRDmeasures/ and follow the instructions to send your comments to:

• **Dialysis Facility Compare:** Comment on the three DFC measures (see page 2) which will be reported on the DFC public website in November 2008. Your comments will **not** appear on the DFC website.

• State Surveyor: Comment on your DFR for the state surveyors. The state surveyors will receive a copy of your DFR in September 2008 with your comments.

• UM-KECC: Submit questions about your DFR to UM-KECC. You can also submit your suggestions to improve the DFR.

#### The deadline for submitting comments is September 7, 2008.

#### – 2008 Dialysis Facility Report –

SAMPLE DIALYSIS CENTER State: XX Network: 99 CMS Provider#: 999999

## **Dear Dialysis Facility Director:**

This report has been prepared for this facility by the University of Michigan Kidney Epidemiology and Cost Center (UM-KECC) with funding from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). It is the thirteenth in a series of annual reports. This is one of 5,279 reports that have been sent to the ESRD Networks for distribution to ESRD providers in the U.S. Your state survey agency will receive this report in September 2008. Selected highlights from this report are given here. The information specific to this facility is printed in **bold type** for easy identification.

**What's New This Year:** As part of a continuing effort to improve the quality and relevance of this report for your facility, the following changes have been incorporated into your 2008 DFR. A new section on the number of days patients spend in the hospital is now reported with the admission statistics in Table 2. The percent of patients experiencing a hospitalization with congestive heart failure, cardiac dysrhythmia, and cardiac arrest have also been added. A section on all transplants after day 90 of ESRD is now reported along with the first transplantation summary statistics in Table 3. The number of patients who received transplants from a living donor has also been added. The anemia management measures contained in Table 5 are now reported as percent of patients meeting specified hemoglobin levels. Please refer to the section entitled "What's New" in Section I of the *Guide to the 2008 Dialysis Facility Reports* for greater detail on these changes.

**Dialysis Facility Compare:** The anemia and patient survival measures will be reported differently on DFC this year. Hemodialysis adequacy will be reported as before. Anemia management will be reported as two measures: the percent of patients with hemoglobin values of less than 10 g/dL and the percent of patients with hemoglobin values greater than 12 g/dL. Patient survival will be reported in three categories as before but the category definitions have changed (see below). The URR and hemoglobin measures were calculated for Medicare approved dialysis facilities operating at any time during 2007. The hemoglobin measures were calculated for Medicare approved dialysis facilities operating at any time from 2004 through 2007. The following measures for this facility will appear on the DFC website:

	Measure Name	This Facility
1.	<b>The percentage of Medicare hemodialysis patients treated</b> <b>in this facility during 2007 with URR</b> ≥ 65% <i>Number of patients included in calculation:</i> 36	100%
2.	The percentage of Medicare patients treated in this facility during 2007 with ESA-treated hemoglobin <10 g/dL with ESA-treated hemoglobin >12 g/dL Number of patients included in calculation: 35	0% 74%
3.	Patient survival reported as "as expected," "better than expected,"or "worse than expected" for the time period 2004-2007 for this facilityStandardized Mortality Ratio (SMR):0.96P-value:0.89	As Expected

Please see Table 5 for more information on URR and ESA-treated hemoglobin for this facility. URR and ESA-treated hemoglobin measures based on 10 or fewer patients will be reported as "not available" on DFC. Table 1 provides additional information on patient survival. If the Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR) is less than 1.00 and statistically significant (p<0.05), the patient survival classification is "Better than Expected" on DFC. If the facility SMR is greater than 1.00 and statistically significant (p<0.05), the patient survival classification is "As Expected" on DFC. Please note that the classification is not reported for a facility if the SMR is based on 3 or fewer expected deaths.

**Overview:** This report includes summaries of patient characteristics, treatment patterns, and patient outcomes for chronic dialysis patients who were treated in this facility between January 2004 and December 2007. Mortality, hospitalization, and transplantation statistics are reported for a three- or four-year period. Regional and national averages are included to allow for comparisons. Several of the summaries of patient mortality, hospitalization, and transplantation are adjusted to account for the characteristics of the patient mix at this facility, including age, sex, race, ethnicity, and diabetes as a cause of ESRD. Unless otherwise specified, data refer to hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis patients combined.

Summary data about the percent of patients with URR of 65% or higher and with central catheters are included, as suggested by Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI) Clinical Practice Guidelines. Summary data about the percent of patients with hemoglobin from 10 g/dL to 12 g/dL are also reported. These practice pattern measures are strongly correlated with the mortality and hospitalization measures found in these reports. Note that elevated mortality or hospitalization rates may be due to a variety of causes unrelated to clinical practices, so it may not always be possible to identify clinical practices that explain those rates.

**Mortality:** Mortality summaries are provided in Table 1. A Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR) is calculated for each facility. Although mortality statistics can vary substantially from year to year, they are reported here as a key outcome for dialysis patients. The SMR compares the observed death rate in this facility to the death rate that would be expected based on national death rates for patients with the characteristics of the patients at this facility. Time at risk and deaths within 60 days after transfer out of this facility are attributed to this facility. Time at risk and deaths after transplantation are excluded from the analysis. The SMR is adjusted for patient age, sex, race, ethnicity, diabetes as a cause of ESRD, duration of ESRD, nursing home status, BMI at incidence, and comorbidities at incidence, as well as state population death rates. The rate of withdrawal from dialysis and the percentage of deaths due to infection are reported in the table to help in the interpretation of the mortality outcomes.

There was a 22% annual observed death rate among the patients treated at this facility during 2004-2007, while a rate of 23% would be expected, based on the age, sex, race, ethnicity, diabetes as cause of ESRD, duration of ESRD, nursing home status, BMI at incidence, comorbidities at incidence, and state population death rates. The SMR of observed to expected deaths is 0.96, which is not far (4%) below the national reference value of 1.00.

**Hospitalization:** Hospitalization summaries are reported for Medicare patients in Table 2. The table includes information on the number of hospital admissions, the number of hospital days, and the diagnoses present at admission for patients at this facility. The total days hospitalized rate reports the total number of days this facility's patients spent in the hospital per year, including multiple admissions per patient, and is adjusted for age, race, sex, and diabetes. As in the mortality calculation, time at risk and hospitalizations within 60 days after transfer out of this facility are attributed to this facility. Time at risk and hospitalizations starting 3 days before transplantation are excluded from the analysis.

## The Standardized Total Days Hospitalized Ratio of observed to expected number of days for patients for 2004-2006 at this facility is 0.99, which is 16% lower than expected.

**Infection:** Information on hospitalizations for septicemia is reported on Table 2. The information in Table 2 is based on Medicare patient claims.

# The percentage of Medicare dialysis patients at this facility hospitalized with septicemia during 2004-2006 was 16%, compared to 12% nationally.

**Transplantation:** Transplantation summaries are reported in Table 3. The Standardized Transplantation Ratio (STR) represents relative first transplantation rates (observed/expected) for patients at this facility adjusting for patient age. Patients who are 70 or older as well as those with a prior kidney transplant are

excluded. As in the mortality and hospitalization calculations, time at risk and transplants within 60 days after transfer out of this facility are attributed to this facility.

Of the patients under age 70 treated at this facility during 2004-2007 who had not previously received a transplant, 8% were transplanted annually, while a rate of 6% would be expected for these patients. The STR of observed to expected number of patients transplanted for this facility is 1.39, which is 39% higher than expected for this facility. This difference is not statistically significant (p>0.05) and could plausibly be due to random chance.

**Transplant Waitlist:** Table 4 summarizes waitlist information for patients under age 70 being treated at this facility at the end of each year. Unlike the mortality, hospitalization, and transplantation statistics, the waitlist statistics do not include patients who transferred out of the facility.

Among the 35 dialysis patients under age 70 treated at this facility on December 31, 2007, 31% were on the kidney transplant waitlist compared to 24% nationally. This difference is not statistically significant (p>0.05) and is plausibly due to random chance.

**Practice Patterns:** Table 5 summarizes the dialytic modality, hemoglobin, and URR for patients treated at this facility during each year. These data are derived from CMS Medicare paid dialysis claim data. Vascular access data for prevalent and incident patients as reported by the CMS Fistula First project are summarized in Table 6.

Among the 35 ESA-treated dialysis patients included in the analysis of Medicare claims data of hemoglobin for 2007 at this facility, 26% had hemoglobin between 10-12 g/dL compared to 54% nationally. Among the 36 hemodialysis patients in this facility included in the analysis of Medicare claims data of URR in 2007, 100% had URR above the KDOQI minimum value for URR (URR  $\geq$  65%), compared to 95% nationally.

At this facility in 2007, an average of 7% of incident patients had AV fistulae in place, compared to 29% nationally. Also at this facility in 2007, an average of 52% of prevalent patients had AV fistulae in place, compared to 55% nationally. Of the prevalent patients receiving hemodialysis treatment at this facility in 2007, 8% had a catheter which had been in place for more than 90 days as their only vascular access, compared to 12% nationally. See Tables 5 and 6 for more information about practice patterns.

**Patient Characteristics:** Characteristics of patients starting dialysis during 2004-2007 are reported in Table 7. Table 8 gives summaries for all dialysis patients being treated at the end of each year, 2004-2007. Comorbidities are reported in Table 9 for Medicare dialysis patients being treated at the end of each year, 2004-2006.

There were 16 patients with Medical Evidence Forms (CMS-2728) which indicated that they started treatment at this facility during 2007. The average number of comorbidities reported for new patients is 3.3, which is higher than the average of 3.1 reported nationally. Also, 31% of these patients were not under the care of a nephrologist before starting dialysis, compared to 31% nationally. Furthermore, 94% of these patients were informed of their transplant options, compared to 71% nationally. The average serum albumin calculated for these patients (before first dialysis) is 2.8 g/dl, which is lower than the national average value of 3.1 g/dl. The average residual renal function (GFR) calculated for these patients from serum creatinine (before first dialysis) and other parameters was 10.7 ml/min, which is lower than the national average value of 10.8 ml/min.

Among patients treated at this facility on December 31, 2007, 4% were treated in a nursing home during the year, which is lower than the national average value of 13%. The average number of comorbidities

## reported on Medicare claims in 2006 for Medicare patients in this facility on December 31, 2006 is 4.0, which is lower than the national average value of 4.1.

**Facility Information:** General information about this facility is provided in Tables 11, 12, and 13. Table 11 provides counts of patients treated, Medicare eligibility, treatment modality, and staffing from the Annual Facility Survey (Form CMS-2744). Table 12 reports survey and certification activity. Table 13 includes services provided by this facility as well as information on ownership.

This facility reported having 0 staff members to care for 48 patients at the end of 2007. This facility reported having 12 stations available as of March 31, 2008. This facility offers hemodialysis services only. Additional information regarding patient counts, patient modality, and facility staffing is available in Table 11.

\* \* \*

**Sources of Patient Data:** This report is based primarily on Medicare claims and data collected for CMS. Patients were assigned to this facility based on the Standard Information Management System (SIMS) database, Medicare claims, and Medical Evidence Forms (Form CMS-2728). Network 99 has a list of the patients included in the mortality analyses for this facility. Table 9 reports comorbidities reported on Medicare claims. Table 10 reports the number of patients placed in this facility for analyses in Tables 1, 2, 3, 8, and 9 of this report. Table 10 also provides information on patient status at the end of the year, both for patients who remain in this facility until the end of each year, and for those who transfer out of this facility, receive a transplant, or die during the year.

These are just a few highlights of the statistics you will find in this report based on the data for this facility. We hope that this report is of interest to you and that you will discuss it with your staff. We welcome any questions or comments you might have about the content of the current report or any suggestions you might have for future reports. Comments or suggestions can be submitted at https://secure.ArborResearch.org/ESRDmeasures/ until September 7, 2008. If you have questions after the comment period is over, please contact us directly at keccdfr@umich.edu or (734)998-9823.

For a complete description of the methods used to calculate the statistics in this report, please see the *Guide to the 2008 Dialysis Facility Reports*. The *Guide* is available from ESRD Network 99, and is also on the UM-KECC web site at www.sph.umich.edu/kecc.

#### Prepared by

The University of Michigan Kidney Epidemiology and Cost Center (UM-KECC) under contract with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

#### TABLE 1: Mortality Summary for All Dialysis Patients<sup>1</sup>, 2004-2007

				This Fa	cility		Regional Averages², per Year, 2004-2007			
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	2004-2007	State	Network	U.S.	
Dea	th Rates									
1a	Patients (n=number)	56	62	65	61	244 <sup>7</sup>	97.5	71.2	94.0	
1b	Patient years (PY) at risk (n)	41.2	44.2	45.1	45.1	176 <sup>7</sup>	67.3	48.3	59.2	
1c	Deaths (n)	12	8	10	9	39 <sup>7</sup>	14.7	11.0	13.0	
1d	Expected deaths (n)	9.6	10.1	10.4	10.4	40.57	15.5	12.0	13.0	
1e	Death rate per 100 PY	29.1	18.1	22.2	20.0	22.2	21.9	22.7	21.9	
1f	Expected death rate per 100 PY	23.2	22.9	23.0	23.1	23.1	23.1	24.8	22.0	
Cat	egories of Death									
1g	Withdrawal from dialysis prior to death (% of 1c)	50.0	37.5	40.0	22.2	38.5	27.4	33.7	23.5	
1h	Due to infections (% of 1c)	33.3	12.5	10.0	22.2	20.5	18.5	19.0	18.6	
1i	Dialysis unrelated deaths <sup>3</sup> (n; excluded from SMR)	0	0	0	0	07	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Star	ndardized Mortality Ratio (SMR)									
1j	SMR <sup>4</sup>	1.25	0.79	0.96	0.86	0.96	0.95	0.91	1.00	
1k	P-value <sup>5</sup>	0.51	0.64	0.99	0.81	0.89	n/a	n/a	n/a	
11	95% Confidence interval for SMR <sup>6</sup>									
	Upper limit	2.19	1.56	1.77	1.64	1.32	n/a	n/a	n/a	
	Lower limit	0.65	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.68	n/a	n/a	n/a	
SM	R Percentiles for this Facility (i.e. percent of facilit	ties with	lower n	ortality	rates)					
1m	In this State	77	30	61	42	59				
1n	In this Network	80	39	63	50	65				
10	In the U.S.	72	26	49	42	47				

n/a = not applicable

[1] See Guide, Section IV.

[2] Values are shown for the average facility, annualized.

[3] Defined as deaths due to street drugs and accidents unrelated to treatment.

[4] Calculated as a ratio of deaths (1c) to expected deaths (1d); not shown if there are too few expected deaths.
[5] A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected mortality is probably real and is not due to random chance alone, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference could plausibly be due to random chance.

The confidence interval range represents uncertainty in the value of the SMR due to random variation. [6]

[7] Sum of 4 years used for calculations; should not be compared to regional averages.

#### TABLE 2: Hospitalization Summary for Medicare Dialysis Patients<sup>1</sup>, 2004-2006

			This	s Facility		Regional Averages <sup>2</sup> , per Year, 2004-2006			
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2004-2006	State	Network	U.S.	
Mee	dicare Dialysis Patients								
2a	Medicare dialysis patients (n)	46	50	55	151 <sup>6</sup>	77.4	56.0	71.0	
2b	Patient years (PY) at risk $(n)^3$	34.1	35.9	37.7	107.7 <sup>6</sup>	53.9	38.3	44.3	
Tot	al Days Hospitalized Statistics								
2c	Total days hospitalized (n)	484	512	531	1527 <sup>6</sup>	879.3	543.4	660.5	
2d	Expected total days hospitalized (n)	490.0	516.7	533.8	1540.5 <sup>6</sup>	823.0	576.2	664.5	
2e	Days hospitalized rate per PY	14.2	14.3	14.1	14.2	16.3	14.2	14.9	
2f	Expected days hospitalized rate per PY	14.4	14.4	14.2	14.3	15.3	15.1	15.0	
2g	Standardized Total Days Hospitalized Ratio <sup>4</sup>	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	1.07	0.94	0.99	
Tot	al Admission Statistics								
2h	PY at risk for total admissions (n) <sup>3</sup>	32.8	34.5	36.2	104	51	37	42	
2i	Total admissions (n)	73.0	92.0	72.0	237 <sup>5</sup>	115	76	85	
2j	Expected total admissions (n)	65.5	68.4	70.5	204.4 <sup>5</sup>	104.1	73.9	84.7	
2k	Admission rate per PY	2.2	2.7	2.0	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.0	
21	Expected admission rate per PY	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
2m	Standardized Total Admission Ratio <sup>5</sup>	1.11	1.35	1.02	1.16	1.11	1.03	1.00	
Dia	gnoses Present at Admission (% of 2a)								
2n	Septicemia	17.4	14.0	16.4	15.9	15.1	12.6	11.6	
20	Acute myocardial infarction	6.5	6.0	5.5	6.0	6.3	5.4	4.0	
2p	Congestive heart failure	30.4	36.0	30.9	32.5	31.7	28.7	24.3	
2q	Cardiac dysrhythmia	26.1	28.0	16.4	23.2	17.3	17.5	14.1	
2r	Cardiac arrest	6.5	4.0	0.0	3.3	2.2	1.9	1.7	
Len	igth of Stay								
2s	One day admissions (% of 2i)	15.1	22.8	19.4	19.4	12.4	14.5	13.7	
2t	Average length of stay (days per admission; 2c/2i)	6.6	5.6	7.4	6.4	7.6	7.1	7.8	

n/a = not applicable

Based on patients with Medicare as primary insurer; see *Guide, Section V*.
 Values are shown for the average facility, annualized.

[3] Patient years at risk in 2b includes all time at risk. Patient years at risk for total admissions in 2h does not include time in the hospital.
[4] Standardized Total Days Hospitalized Ratio calculated as ratio of actual (2c) to expected (2d) hospitalized days.

[5] Standardized Total Admission Ratio calculated as ratio of actual (2i) to expected (2j) total admissions.

[6] Sum of 3 years used for calculations; should not be compared to regional averages.

#### TABLE 3: Transplantation Summary for Dialysis Patients under Age 70, 2004-2007

			Т	his Fac	ility			onal Averag 'ear, 2004-2	
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	2004-2007	State	Network	U.S.
3a	Eligible patients <sup>1</sup> (n)	33	34	35	37	139 <sup>9</sup>	64.6	44.3	63.8
3b	Transplants (n)	3	3	4	2	12 <sup>9</sup>	3.2	2.7	2.5
3c	Donor type (sums to $3b^3$ )								
	Living Donor (n)	1	2	0	0	3	1.2	1.1	0.8
	Deceased Donor (n)	2	1	4	2	9	2.1	1.7	1.7
Pati	ients who have not Previously Received a Trai	nsplant							
3d	Eligible patients <sup>1</sup> (n)	26	26	28	32	112 <sup>9</sup>	58.4	39.5	57.6
3e	Patient years (PY) at risk (n)	18.7	19.1	20.2	24.7	82.7 <sup>9</sup>	41.0	27.2	37.2
3f	1 <sup>st</sup> transplants <sup>4</sup> (n)	3	1	2	1	7 <sup>9</sup>	2.8	2.3	2.2
3g	Expected 1 <sup>st</sup> transplants (n)	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	5.0 <sup>9</sup>	2.4	1.6	2.2
3h	1 <sup>st</sup> transplant rate per 100 PY	16.0	5.2	9.9	4.1	8.5	6.8	8.6	5.9
3i	Expected 1 <sup>st</sup> transplant rate per 100 PY	6.2	6.2	6.2	5.7	6.1	5.8	5.8	5.8
3j	Donor type (sums to $3f^5$ )								
	Living Donor (n)	1	1	0	0	2	1.0	0.9	0.7
	Deceased Donor (n)	2	0	2	1	5	1.8	1.5	1.5
Star	ndardized 1st Transplantation Ratio (STR)								
3k	STR <sup>6</sup>	2.56	0.84	1.59	0.71	1.39	1.16	1.48	1.01
31	P-value <sup>7</sup>	0.23	0.99	0.72	0.99	0.48	n/a	n/a	n/a
3m	95% Confidence interval for STR <sup>8</sup>								
	Upper limit	7.49	4.69	5.75	3.94	2.87	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Lower limit	0.53	0.02	0.19	0.02	0.56	n/a	n/a	n/a
STF	R Percentiles for this Facility (i.e. percent of fa	cilities with lower	transpla	ntation	rates)				
3n	In this State	93	43	69	38	66			
30	In this Network	84	32	57	26	50			
3p	In the U.S.	92	48	77	44	73			

n/a = not applicable

[1] See Guide, Section VI.

[2] Values are shown for the average facility, annualized.

[3] Values may not sum to 3b due to unknown donor type.

[4] Among first transplants that occurred after the start of dialysis from 2004-2007, 4.3% of transplants in the U.S. were not included because the transplant occurred less than 90 days after the start of ESRD and 0.8% were not included because the patient was not assigned to a facility at time of transplant. [5] Values may not sum to 3f due to unknown donor type.

[6] Standardized Transplantation Ratio calculated as ratio of actual (3f) to expected (3g). Not shown if 3g is too small.

[7] A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected transplants is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference is plausibly due to random chance.

[8] The confidence interval range represents uncertainty in the value of the STR due to random variation.

[9] Sum of 4 years used for calculations; should not be compared to regional averages.

#### TABLE 4: Waitlist Summary for Dialysis Patients under Age 70 Treated as of December 31st of Each Year<sup>1</sup>, 2004-2007

			This Fa	cility		Regiona	al Averages <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> , 2007
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	State	Network	U.S.
4a	Eligible patients on 12/31 <sup>1</sup> (n)	27	26	25	35	51.5	35.1	46
4b	Patients on the waitlist (% of 4a)	29.6	38.5	44.0	31.4	24.9	25.5	23
4c	P-value <sup>3</sup> (compared to U.S. value)	0.23	0.05	0.02	0.19	n/a	n/a	n
4d	Patients on the waitlist by subgroup (% of corresponding value in 4e)							
	Age < 40	40.0	37.5	75.0	66.7	42.7	42.5	36
	Age 40-69	23.5	38.9	38.1	28.1	22.1	22.7	21
	Male	40.0	46.2	50.0	34.8	25.9	26.1	24
	Female	16.7	30.8	36.4	25.0	23.5	24.5	22
	African American					22.8	23.2	21
	Asian/Pacific Islander				0.0	36.4	43.6	36
	Native American					38.3	23.8	18
	White, Hispanic	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.8	22.8	26
	White, Non-Hispanic	30.8	40.0	45.8	33.3	26.8	26.7	23
	Other/unknown race			•		20.0	19.1	28
	Diabetes	16.7	41.7	36.4	25.0	19.8	20.1	18
	Non-diabetes	40.0	35.7	50.0	36.8	28.5	29.5	27
	Previous kidney transplant	57.1	50.0	100	75.0	47.1	47.2	46
	No previous kidney transplant	20.0	35.0	36.4	25.8	22.5	22.8	2
	< 2 years since start of ESRD	9.1	33.3	22.2	13.3	17.5	18.6	15
	2-4 years since start of ESRD	28.6	33.3	45.5	30.0	31.4	30.6	27
	5+ years since start of ESRD	55.6	50.0	80.0	60.0	28.5	30.3	30
4e	Eligible patients in 4a by subgroup (n)							
	Age < 40	10	8	4	3	7.0	4.8	$\epsilon$
	Age 40-69	17	18	21	32	44.6	30.3	40
	Male	15	13	14	23	29.2	20.0	26
	Female	12	13	11	12	22.3	15.2	20
	African American	0	0	0	0	26.6	14.0	19
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	1	0.7	0.9	2
	Native American	0	0	0	0	0.3	1.4	C
	White, Hispanic	1	1	1	1	1.5	1.3	e
	White, Non-Hispanic	26	25	24	33	22.3	17.3	16
	Other/unknown race	0	0	0	0	0.2	0.2	(
	Diabetes	12	12	11	16	21.3	15.0	20
	Non-diabetes	15	14	14	19	30.3	20.1	20
	Previous kidney transplant	7	6	3	4	5.0	3.8	4
	No previous kidney transplant	20	20	22	31	46.6	31.3	42
	< 2 years since start of ESRD	11	9	9	15	21.1	14.9	18
	2-4 years since start of ESRD	7	9	11	10	16.1	10.7	14
	5+ years since start of ESRD	9	8	5	10	14.3	9.6	13

n/a = not applicable [1] See *Guide, Section VII.* 

[1] See Outlac, Section VII.
[2] Values are shown for the average facility.
[3] Facility waitlist percentage is compared to the U.S. waitlist percentage for that year: 22.1% (2004), 22.6% (2005), 23.0% (2006), 23.7% (2007). A p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference between percent of patients waitlisted at the facility and national percentage is plausibly due to random chance.

#### TABLE 5: Facility Modality, Hemoglobin, and Urea Reduction Ratio for Medicare Dialysis Patients<sup>1</sup>, 2004-2007

			This Fa	ncility		Regiona	al Averages <sup>2</sup>	, 200
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	State	Network	U.S
Mo	dality (among all dialysis patients with ESRD for 90+ d	ays and 1+ cl	aim at t	his facili	ity)			
5a	Patients treated during year <sup>1</sup> (n)	52	60	61	58	91.7	69.7	77
5b	Modality (% of 5a; sums to 100%)							
	Hemodialysis	100	100	100	100	89.1	89.7	89
	CAPD/CCPD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	5.2	5
	Other dialysis <sup>3</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.1	5.1	4
Her	noglobin (among ESA-treated dialysis patients with ES	SRD for 90+ d	ays and	4+ Hen	oglobin	claims at th	nis facility)	
5c	Eligible patients <sup>1</sup> (n)	38	42	42	35	61.0	44.0	50
5d	Average hemoglobin (g/dL)	12.4	12.1	12.4	12.4	12.0	11.9	11
5e	Hemoglobin categories (% of 5c; sums to 100%)							
	< 10 g/dL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.6	1
	10-12 g/dL	21.1	35.7	26.2	25.7	47.0	54.1	54
	> 12 g/dL	78.9	64.3	73.8	74.3	51.3	44.2	44
5f	Hemoglobin 10-12 g/dL (% of HD pts)	21.1	35.7	26.2	25.7	46.3	53.5	5
	Hemoglobin 10-12 g/dL (% of PD pts)					58.8	63.0	6
5g	Hemoglobin percentiles for this facility <sup>4</sup>				X			
	In this State	8	35	11	9			
	In this Network	7	26	8	8			
	In the U.S.	6	24	10	8			
Ure	a Reduction Ratio (URR; among HD patients with ES	RD for 183+ c	lays and	1 4+ URI	R claims	at this facil	ity)	
5h	Eligible patients <sup>1</sup> (n)	34	36	37	36	56.3	40.7	4
5i	URR categories (% of 5h; sums to 100%)							
	< 60.0 %	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.9	
	60.0-64.9 %	2.9	2.8	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.5	2
	65.0-69.9 %	2.9	0.0	2.7	2.8	13.1	14.3	1
	70.0-74.9 %	17.6	30.6	35.1	38.9	39.6	38.5	3
	75+ %	76.5	66.7	62.2	58.3	42.6	41.8	4
5j	URR 65+ (% of 5h; meets a KDOQI guideline)	97.1	97.2	100	100	95.3	94.6	9
5k	URR percentiles for this facility <sup>5</sup>							
	In this State	57	52	99	99			
	In this Network	52	52	99	99			
	In the U.S.	51	55	99	99			

n/a = not applicable

See *Guide*, *Section VIII*.
 Counts are shown for the average facility.

[3] Other dialysis includes patients who switch between HD and PD during the year and patients for whom modality is unknown.
[4] Percent of facilities with a smaller percentage of patients with hemoglobin 10-12 g/dl.
[5] Percent of facilities with a smaller percentage of patients with URR 65+.

#### TABLE 6: Vascular Access Information<sup>1</sup> (CMS Fistula First), 2004-2007

			This Fa	cility		Regiona	al Averages <sup>2</sup>	, 2007
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	State	Network	U.S.
Vas	cular Access							
6a	Prevalent hemodialysis patient months <sup>3</sup>	560	573	544	641			
6b	Vascular access type in use (% of 6a; sums to 100%)							
	Arteriovenous fistula	48.6	51.7	45.6	48.8	41.7	44.3	47.0
	Arteriovenous graft	26.6	27.9	30.7	33.4	31.0	24.4	24.9
	Catheter	16.4	17.6	23.0	16.5	26.9	30.9	27.7
	Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
	Missing	8.4	2.8	0.7	1.2	0.3	0.3	0.5
6c	Arteriovenous fistulae in place <sup>4</sup> (% of 6a)	51.1	56.4	52.4	52.3	50.0	54.0	55.5
6d	Catheter only $> 90 \text{ days}^5$ (% of 6a)	5.7	5.4	6.8	7.6	11.7	13.9	11.7
Vas	cular Access at First Treatment							
6e	Incident hemodialysis patients (n)	20	14	17	14	19.8	15.7	17.4
6f	Vascular access type in use <sup>6</sup> (% of 6e; sums to 100%)							
	Arteriovenous fistula	10.0	7.1	5.9	0.0	14.3	14.9	15.7
	Arteriovenous graft	15.0	28.6	11.8	7.1	6.5	4.6	4.7
	Catheter	40.0	21.4	70.6	50.0	76.3	78.5	76.0
	Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.1
	Missing	35.0	42.9	11.8	42.9	2.4	1.5	3.5
6g	Arteriovenous fistulae in place <sup>4</sup> (% of 6e)	10.0	14.3	11.8	7.1	24.3	27.5	28.9

n/a = not applicable

[1] See Guide, Section IX.

[2] Values are shown for the average facility.

[3] Patients may be counted up to 12 times per year.

[4] Includes all patients with fistulae, regardless of whether or not they received their hemodialysis treatments using their fistulae.
[5] Catheter was used for treatment and has been in place for 90 days or more prior to treatment. Patient does not have an fistula or graft in place. Catheter is only access. Port access devices are reported as catheters for this project.

[6] Patients listed as graft or catheter may have had fistulae in place for future use, but they actually received their treatment through a graft or catheter.

### TABLE 7: Characteristics of New Dialysis Patients<sup>1</sup>, 2004-2007 (Form CMS-2728)

			This Fa	cility		Regiona	al Averages <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> , 200
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	State	Network	U.\$
Pati	ent Characteristics <sup>3</sup>							
7a	Total number of patients with forms (n)	20	9	17	16	32.7	29.8	31
7b	Average age (years [0-95])	64.6	70.1	67.7	63.8	63.4	64.4	6
7c	Female (% of 7a)	35.0	44.4	29.4	37.5	45.0	44.1	4
7d	Race <sup>4</sup> (% of 7a; sums to 100%)							
	African-American	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.3	23.5	2
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	1.1	2.0	
	Native American	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.5	
	White	100	100	100	93.8	62.7	71.5	6
	Other/Unknown/Missing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	
7e	Hispanic (% of 7a)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.7	1
7f	Primary cause of ESRD (% of 7a; sums to 100%)							
	Diabetes	35.0	33.3	29.4	50.0	42.7	41.1	4
	Hypertension	40.0	55.6	29.4	12.5	29.2	27.8	2
	Primary Glomerulonephritis	10.0	0.0	5.9	6.3	6.5	7.5	
	Other/Missing	15.0	11.1	35.3	31.3	21.5	23.6	2
7g	Medical coverage (% of 7a; sums to 100%)		(					
. 9	Employer group only	0.0	22.2	0.0	18.8	19.8	17.3	1
	Medicare only	5.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	13.2	13.8	1
	Medicaid only	10.0	11.1	0.0	6.3	10.8	10.2	1
	Medicare and Medicaid only	0.0	11.1	17.6	12.5	11.1	10.2	1
	Medicare and Other	60.0	55.6	70.6	56.3	35.7	38.2	2
	Other/Unknown	25.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	4.5	6.4	
	None	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	4.8	4.0	
7h	Body Mass Index <sup>5</sup>							
	Male	25.4	28.5	25.0	26.6	27.0	26.8	2
	Female	28.4	34.3	24.1	25.8	28.2	28.3	2
7i	Employment <sup>6</sup>							
/1	Six months prior to ESRD treatment	0.0		50.0	16.7	33.3	38.6	3
	At first ESRD treatment	0.0	100	16.7	16.7	18.0	22.5	2
		•	100	10.7	10.7	18.0	22.5	4
7j	Primary modality <sup>7</sup> (% of 7a; sums to 100%)			100	100	02.0	02.5	
	Hemodialysis	•	•	100	100	93.8	93.7	9
	CAPD/CCPD Other/Itelmourn/Missing	·	•	0.0	0.0	6.2	6.3	
	Other/Unknown/Missing	•	•	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
7k	Number of incident hemodialysis patients <sup>7</sup> (n) $\frac{7}{2}$	•	•	17	16	30.7	27.8	2
71	Access used at first outpatient dialysis <sup>7</sup> (% of 7k; sums to 100%)							
	Arteriovenous fistula	•	•	11.8	0.0	12.8	14.0	1
	Arteriovenous graft		•	5.9	6.3	3.9	3.5	
	Catheter		•	82.4	93.8	83.2	82.4	8
	Other/Unknown/Missing		•	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
7m	Arteriovenous fistulae placed (% of 7k)			23.5	31.3	24.9	28.3	3
Ave	rage Lab Values Prior to Dialysis <sup>3</sup>							
7n	Hemoglobin (g/dL [3-18])	10.2	11.2	11.0	9.9	10.0	10.2	1
7o	Serum Albumin (g/dL [0.8-6.0])	3.1	3.2	3.4	2.8	3.2	3.2	

#### TABLE 7 (cont): Characteristics of New Dialysis Patients<sup>1</sup>, 2004-2007 (Form CMS-2728)

			This Fa	cility		Regiona	al Averages <sup>2</sup>	, 2007
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	State	Network	U.S.
Ave	rage Lab Values Prior to Dialysis <sup>3</sup>							
7p	Serum Creatinine (mg/dL [2-33])	6.4	4.7	5.8	5.9	6.0	5.9	6.4
7q	GFR (mL/min [0-60])	9.1	12.4	11.7	10.7	11.5	11.5	10.8
Car	e Prior to ESRD Therapy							
7r	Received ESA prior to ESRD (% of 7a)	20.0	55.6	23.5	56.3	29.3	32.9	29.4
7s	Pre-ESRD nephrologist care <sup>7</sup> (% of 7a; sums to 100%)							
	No			58.8	31.3	34.1	32.8	31.
	Yes, < 6 months			0.0	0.0	5.2	5.5	10.
	Yes, 6-12 months			29.4	50.0	21.7	22.5	23.
	Yes, > 12 months			11.8	18.8	30.8	32.7	23.
	Unknown		•	0.0	0.0	8.3	6.4	11.
7t	Informed of transplant options <sup>7</sup> (% of 7a)			76.5	93.8	70.4	71.1	70.
7u	Patients not informed of transplant options <sup>7</sup> (n)			4	1	9.2	8.4	9.
7v	Reason not informed <sup>7</sup> (% of 7u; may not sum to 100%)							
	Medically unfit			25.0	0.0	38.1	41.4	31.
	Unsuitable due to age			0.0	100	40.1	36.6	24.
	Psychologically unfit			0.0	0.0	3.4	3.1	2.
	Patient declined information			25.0	0.0	2.3	2.0	1.
	Patient has not been assessed			25.0	0.0	30.1	28.8	45.
Cor	norbid Conditions							
7w	Pre-existing Comorbidity (% yes of 7a)		,					
	Congestive heart failure	20.0	55.6	29.4	43.8	39.4	37.1	32.
	Atherosclerotic heart disease <sup>8</sup>	50.0	44.4	47.1	25.0	25.3	27.3	21.
	Other cardiac disorder <sup>8</sup>	5.0	11.1	0.0	18.8	18.8	17.7	16.
	CVD, CVA, TIA	5.0	11.1	5.9	0.0	9.9	10.1	9.
	Peripheral vascular disease	30.0	0.0	11.8	18.8	14.7	16.4	14.
	History of hypertension	80.0	88.9	82.4	93.8	86.2	85.1	84.
	Diabetes <sup>8</sup>	45.0	66.7	41.2	68.8	56.6	55.4	57.
	Diabetes on insulin	5.0	0.0	23.5	43.8	38.8	37.2	35.
	COPD	10.0	0.0	11.8	6.3	12.1	11.6	9.
	Current smoker	5.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	9.0	8.2	6.
	Cancer	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	10.3	10.5	7.
	Alcohol dependence	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.3	1.
	Drug dependence	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.8	1.
	Inability to ambulate	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.3	6.4	6.
	Inability to transfer	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	3.1	3.
7x	Average number of comorbid conditions	2.8	2.8	2.6	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.

n/a= not applicable

[1] See Guide, Section X.

[2] Values are shown for the average facility.

[3] For continuous variables, summaries include only responses in range indicated in brackets.

[4] 'Asian' includes Indian sub-continent. 'Native American' includes Alaskan Native. 'White' includes Middle Eastern and Arabian.

[5] The median BMI is computed for adult patients at least 20 years old.[6] Full-time, part-time, or student (% of 18-60 year olds).

[7] Data collection for these items began mid-year 2005. Summaries for these items shown starting in 2006.

[8] 'AHD' includes ischemic heart disease (coronary artery disease) and myocardial infarction. 'Other cardiac disorder' includes cardiac arrest, cardiac dysrhythmia, and pericarditis. 'Diabetes' includes patients with diabetes as the primary cause of ESRD.

#### TABLE 8: Summaries for All Dialysis Patients Treated as of December 31 of Each Year<sup>1</sup>, 2004-2007

			This Fa	cility		Regiona	al Averages <sup>2</sup>	, 2007
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	State	Network	U.S.
8a	Patients treated on 12/31 (n)	40	46	45	45	72.9	53.2	65.5
8b	Average age (years)	56.7	60.2	63.2	60.5	61.5	62.7	60.9
8c	Age (% of 8a; sums to 100%)							
	< 20	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.6
	20-64	52.5	45.7	46.7	62.2	54.9	50.8	56.1
	65+	47.5	52.2	53.3	37.8	44.6	48.7	43.3
8d	Female (% of 8a)	42.5	39.1	33.3	31.1	45.7	45.2	45.6
8e	Race (% of 8a; sums to 100%)							
	African American	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.6	32.6	37.4
	Asian/Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.3	2.2	4.7
	Native American	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	3.1	1.5
	White	100	100	100	97.8	52.3	61.5	54.9
	Other/Unknown/Missing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	1.4
8f	Ethnicity (% of 8a; sums to 100%)							
	Hispanic	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.9	3.4	15.0
	Non-Hispanic	95.0	95.7	97.8	97.8	95.1	94.9	82.5
	Unknown	2.5	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.6	2.5
8g	Cause of ESRD (% of 8a; sums to 100%)							
	Diabetes	32.5	34.8	33.3	37.8	41.0	41.4	43.4
	Hypertension	25.0	28.3	33.3	26.7	29.9	27.0	27.5
	Glomerulonephritis	12.5	6.5	8.9	8.9	9.9	10.6	10.4
	Other/Unknown	27.5	30.4	24.4	26.7	16.5	18.5	17.5
	Missing	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.4	1.2
8h	Average duration of ESRD (years)	4.8	4.6	3.4	3.8	4.2	4.1	4.2
8i	Years since start of ESRD (% of 8a; sums to 100%)							
	<1	27.5	17.4	24.4	20.0	18.9	19.5	18.0
	1-2	20.0	26.1	17.8	26.7	19.7	20.7	19.4
	2-3	12.5	15.2	24.4	8.9	15.6	15.3	14.6
	3-6	22.5	23.9	20.0	31.1	25.5	25.1	25.7
	6+	17.5	17.4	13.3	13.3	20.3	19.5	21.7
8j	Nursing home patients <sup>3</sup> (% of 8a)	5.0	19.6	11.1	4.4	13.1	15.7	13.
8k	Modality (% of 8a; sums to 100%)							
	In-center hemodialysis	100	100	100	100	91.1	90.9	90.7
	In-center self hemodialysis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Home hemodialysis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1	0.9
	Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	3.5	2.5
	Continuous cycling peritoneal dialysis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	4.1	5.5
	Other modality <sup>4</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4

n/a = not applicable

[1] See Guide, Section XI.

[2] Values are shown for the average facility.

[3] Includes patients who were also treated by a nursing facility at any time during the year. The source of nursing facility history of patients is the Nursing Home Minimum Dataset.

[4] Other modality includes other dialysis, uncertain modality, and patients not on dialysis but still temporarily assigned to the facility (discontinued dialysis, recovered renal function, and lost to follow up).

#### TABLE 9: Comorbidities Reported on Medicare Claims for Medicare Dialysis Patients Treated as of December 31 of Each Year<sup>1</sup>, 2004-2006

		Th	is Facilit	t <b>y</b>	Regiona	al Averages <sup>2</sup>	, 2006
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	State	Network	U.S.
9a	Medicare dialysis patients on 12/31 (n)	31	36	37	53.6	37.1	42.6
9b	Comorbidity (% yes of 9a)						
	AIDS/HIV positive	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	1.5
	Alcohol dependence	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.8	2.1
	Anemia	12.9	11.1	2.7	11.0	9.3	8.
	Cancer	16.1	13.9	10.8	12.1	11.9	10.
	Cardiac arrest	3.2	2.8	0.0	1.2	1.2	1.
	Cardiac dysrhythmia	35.5	52.8	29.7	44.3	41.5	34.
	Cerebrovascular disease	22.6	41.7	18.9	31.8	28.0	27.
	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	41.9	52.8	37.8	36.0	33.3	29.
	Congestive heart failure	41.9	55.6	37.8	57.9	54.4	51.
	Diabetes	54.8	61.1	64.9	62.9	61.5	61.
	Drug dependence	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.1	2.3	1.
	Gastrointestinal tract bleeding	9.7	2.8	8.1	3.3	3.3	3.
	Hepatitis B	0.0	2.8	0.0	1.5	1.2	1.
	Hepatitis other	3.2	2.8	2.7	3.9	3.2	3.
	Hyperparathyroidism	6.5	0.0	2.7	7.8	12.6	8.
	Infection	61.3	61.1	54.1	57.4	52.1	51.
	Ischemic heart disease	45.2	66.7	51.4	59.9	56.6	51.
	Myocardial infarction	6.5	13.9	8.1	11.9	9.8	8.
	Peripheral vascular disease <sup>3</sup>	58.1	61.1	54.1	51.4	48.7	46.
	Pneumonia	6.5	13.9	10.8	4.8	4.4	5.
9c	Average number of comorbid conditions	4.3	5.2	4.0	4.6	4.4	4.

n/a = not applicable
[1] Based on patients with Medicare as primary insurer on 12/31 each year. See *Guide, Section XII*.
[2] Values are shown for the average facility.
[3] Peripheral vascular disease includes both venous and arterial diseases.

#### TABLE 10: How Patients Were Assigned to This Facility and End of Year Patient Status<sup>1</sup>, 2004-2007

			This Fa	cility		Regiona	l Averages <sup>2</sup>	, 2007
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	State	Network	U.S.
10a	Number of patients placed in facility <sup>1</sup> (n)	56	62	65	61	104.3	76.6	92.2
10b	Initial patient placement for the year in this facility							
	(% of 10a; sums to 100%)							
	Continuing at facility on 01/01	73.2	64.5	70.8	73.8	68.4	67.2	68.2
	Incident (new to ESRD)	25.0	22.6	23.1	21.3	20.3	21.3	20.4
	Transferred into facility	1.8	12.9	6.2	4.9	11.3	11.4	11.4
10c	Patient status at end of year (% of 10a; sums to 100%)							
	Alive in this facility on 12/31	71.4	74.2	69.2	73.8	69.9	69.4	71.1
	Alive in another facility on 12/31	0.0	4.8	6.2	3.3	8.3	8.0	8.0
	Received a transplant	5.4	4.8	7.7	4.9	3.1	3.7	2.9
	Died; death attributed to this facility	21.4	12.9	15.4	14.8	15.1	15.3	14.5
	Died; death attributed to another facility	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.4	1.5
	Other <sup>3</sup>	1.8	1.6	1.5	3.3	2.2	2.3	2.0

[1] Patient assignment for Tables 1,2,3,8, and 9 only. See Guide, Section XIII.

[2] Values are shown for the average facility.

[3] Includes patients who recovered renal function, discontinued dialysis, or were lost to follow-up. Also includes dialysis unrelated deaths. Dialysis unrelated deaths are not attributed to any facility for the purposes of the mortality calculations in this report.

. .

#### TABLE 11: Patient and Staff Counts from the Annual Facility Survey (Form CMS-2744)<sup>1</sup>, 2004-2007

			This Facility				Regional Averages <sup>2</sup> , 2007		
	Measure Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	State	Network	U.S.	
Pati	ents Treated During the Year								
11a	Patients treated during year (n)	66	67	73	70	113.9	84.7	100.5	
11b	Incident patients (% of 11a)	28.8	16.4	21.9	24.3	19.8	20.5	20.0	
11c	Transferred into facility (% of 11a)	7.6	16.4	11.0	8.6	14.0	14.3	13.7	
11d	Transferred out of facility (% of 11a)	6.1	13.4	9.6	10.0	14.2	14.6	14.1	
Pati	ents Treated as of 12/31								
11e	Patients treated as of 12/31 (n)	45	47	46	48	75.3	55.1	67.3	
11f	Patient modality as of 12/31 (n; sums to 11e)								
	In-center HD	45	47	46	48	69.0	50.3	61.4	
	In-center CAPD	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	In-center CCPD	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	In-center Other	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Home HD	0	0	0	0	0.9	0.7	0.6	
	Home CAPD	0	0	0	0	3.3	2.2	1.9	
	Home CCPD	0	0	0	0	2.1	1.9	3.4	
	Home Other	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
11g	Medicare eligibility status as of 12/31 (% of 11e; sums to 100%)								
	Medicare	93.3	93.6	93.5	93.8	86.8	87.3	87.4	
	Medicare application pending	6.7	6.4	6.5	6.3	10.3	9.1	8.1	
	Non-Medicare	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	3.6	4.5	
Faci	lity Staffing as of 12/31 <sup>3</sup>								
11h	Total full and part time staff positions (n)	9	8	10	0	7.6	8.7	12.6	
11i	Staff positions by type (n; sums to 11h)								
	Full time nurse <sup>4</sup>	3	3	3	0	2.1	2.6	4.1	
	Full time patient care technician	4	3	5	0	3.4	2.7	4.8	
	Full time renal dietician	0	0	0	0	0.3	0.2	0.4	
	Full time social worker	0	0	0	0	0.3	0.3	0.5	
	Part time nurse <sup>4</sup>	0	0	0	0	0.4	1.1	1.0	
	Part time patient care technician	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.8	0.8	
	Part time renal dietician	1	1	1	0	0.3	0.5	0.5	
	Part time social worker	1	1	1	0	0.3	0.5	0.5	

[1] See Guide, Section XIV.

[2] Values are shown for the average facility.
[3] *A full time position* is defined as a position with at least 32 hours of employment a week and *a part time position* is defined as a position with less than 32 hours of employment a week (includes positions that were opened but not filled on this date). [4] Nursing staff includes registered nurse, licensed practical nurse, vocational nurse, or advanced practical nurse degree.

#### TABLE 12: Survey and Certification Activity<sup>1</sup>, January 2004-June 2008

		This Facility	Regional Averages <sup>2</sup> , Jan 2004-June 2008		
	Measure Name	Survey	State	Network	U.S.
12a	Date of last survey	05/17/2007	n/a	n/a	n/a
12b	Type of last survey	RECERTIFICATION	n/a	n/a	n/a
12c	Compliance condition after last survey	Acceptable plan of correction	n/a	n/a	n/a
12d	Number of deficiencies cited at last survey <sup>3</sup>				
	Condition for coverage (CfC) deficiencies	0	0.1	0.1	0.2
	Standard deficiencies	3	2.2	4.0	4.5
12e	CfC deficiencies cited at last survey <sup>3</sup>				
	V100 Compliance with Fed., State, and local	No, not cited	0.0	0.5	0.3
	V110 Governing body and management	No, not cited	3.9	2.7	7.7
	V185 Long term program and care plan	No, not cited	2.0	1.1	2.5
	V215 Patient rights and responsibilities	No, not cited	0.0	0.0	0.4
	V230 Medical records	No, not cited	0.0	0.0	1.1
	V255 Physical environment	No, not cited	1.3	2.2	5.0
	V300 Reuse	No, not cited	0.7	0.3	1.2
	V410 Affiliation agreement-arrangement	No, not cited	0.0	0.3	0.2
	V420 Director of a renal dialysis facility	No, not cited	0.7	1.4	2.6
	V430 Staff of a renal dialysis facility	No, not cited	0.0	0.0	1.5
	V440 Minimal service requirements	No, not cited	0.0	0.0	1.2

[1] See Guide, Section XV.

[2] Average values are shown for the latest survey at each facility during the period January 2004 through June 2008. Some surveys from April through June of 2008 may not be included.

[3] Values are shown for this facility only if the most recent survey was in 2004 or later.

## TABLE 13: Facility Information<sup>1</sup>, 2008

Ownership:	For Profit			
Organization:	SAMPLE DIALYSIS CENTER			
Initial Medicare certification date:	08/07/1997			
Number of stations:	12			
Services provided:	Hemodialysis			
Provider numbers included in this report:	999999			

[1] Information based on SIMS data as of March 31, 2008. See Guide, Section XVI.